FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF SOUTH DAKOTA SOUTHERN DIVISION

MAR 3 1 2004

CLERK

.....

CIV. #03-4146

JAMES G. ABOUREZK,

Plaintiff,

VS.

DEFENDANT PROBUSH.COM'S Answer and Jury Trial Demand

PROBUSH.COM, INC., a Pennsylvania corporation,

Answer to the Plaintiff's Complaint, does hereby state and allege as follows:

Defendant.

COMES NOW the Defendant, ProBush.com, and does hereby respectfully submit it's

1.

The Plaintiff's Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

2.

The Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction and/or personal jurisdiction over this Defendant.

3.

The Defendant denies each and every matter, allegation, and thing in Plaintiff's Complaint except as specifically admitted herein. The Defendant admits that it owns and operates the ProBush.com website, and that Senator Abourezk, a public figure, is included with more than one hundred other celebrities, politicians, and other public figures on the website's satirical and politically expressive "Traitor List."

4.

these Defendants specifically deny such facts, allegations, and legal claims, and remit the Plaintiffs to strict proof thereof.

5.

As an affirmative defense, the Plaintiff's claims are barred by the First and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article VI, § 5 of the South Dakota Constitution.

6.

As an affirmative defense, the communication is question is not defamatory.

7.

As an affirmative defense, the communication in question does not contain a provably false connotation.

8.

As an affirmative defense, the communication in question cannot reasonably interpreted as stating actual facts about the Plaintiff.

9.

As an affirmative defense, the communication in question is constitutionally protected political speech, rhetorical hyperbole, vigorous epithet, and/or parody.

10.

As an affirmative defense, the communication in question was a statement of opinion relating to matter of public concern.

11.

As an affirmative defense, the communication in question was privileged under the law.

12.

As an affirmative defense, the plaintiff is a public figure for First Amendment purposes.

13.

As an affirmative defense, the communication in question was not made with actual malice as defined under First Amendment jurisprudence.

14.

As an affirmative defense, the Plaintiff's claims are completely barred by controlling United States Supreme Court precedent.

15.

As an affirmative defense, the Plaintiff's claims are barred by state law.

16.

As an affirmative defense, this action is barred pursuant to SDCL 3-21-8, 9 et seq.

17.

The Defendant denies the nature, extent, and amount of damages claimed by the Plaintiff and remits the Plaintiff to strict proof thereof.

18.

As an affirmative defense, the Plaintiff has failed to mitigate his damages.

19.

WHEREFORE, Defendant ProBush.com prays that Plaintiff's Complaint be dismissed with prejudice and that the Plaintiff take nothing. Further, the Defendant moves this Court for an Order permitting it to recover its costs, disbursements, and attorney's fees, and such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper under the circumstances.

Dated this 315 day of March, 2004.

JOHNSON, HEIDEPRIEM, MINER, MARLOW & JANKLOW, L.L.P.

Ronald A. Parsons, Jr. P.O. Box 1107 Sioux Falls, SD 57101-1107 (605) 338-4304

Attorneys for the Defendant ProBush.com

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

The Defendant hereby respectfully demands a jury trial on all issues so triable.

Ronald A. Parsons, Jr.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This certifies that a true and correct copy of the foregoing **Defendant ProBush.com's** Answer and Jury Trial Demand was served by first class mail, postage prepaid, upon the following:

Todd D. Epp Of Counsel Abourezk Law Offices, P.C. P.O. Box 1164 Sioux Falls, SD 57101-1164

Attorneys for the Plaintiff

on this $\frac{31}{2}$ day of April, 2002.

Ronald A. Parsons, Jr.